

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF SOMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,933	12/22/2000	Charles L. Bruzzone	55376USA4A	3760	
75	90 02/27/2002				
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company PO Box 33427			EXAMINER		
			SHAFER, RICKY D		
St. Paul, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





. Δ΄	Application No. A	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occasions	09/746,933	BRUZZONE ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	1.0 SMATH	P 7872	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2001H MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
\nearrow Claim(s) $1-2.5$	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)) - 2 5	is/are objected to.
\nearrow Claim(s) -25	
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appro	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exam	niner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applica	tion No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received	ved
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Action Summary	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,933

Art Unit: 2872

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

A). The species depicted by Fig. 1a; and

B). The species depicted by Fig. 1b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, claim 19 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/746,933

Art Unit: 2872

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

February 25, 2002

Page 3